

Grant Reviewer Name:					
Program/Project Name/Application Code:					
School/Department Name:					
Clarity, Relevance and Innovation		4-Excellent	3-Adequate	1-Need Improvement	0-Insufficient Evidence
Strong rationale and significance of proposed	project/program.				
Project plan/activities are well-planned, thought out and provides a clear, reasonable timeline.					
Proposed project/program aligns with the mission of the APS Education Foundation and the focus of the district.					
Program/project represents the implementation of new insight or idea, with potential benefits of change made clear.					
Feasibility and Sustainability					
Proposal clearly states measurable goals to help resolve issues and/or advance student achievement.					
Provides a clear picture of how data will be collected and used to demonstrate degree to which outcomes are met.					
Personnel, planned activities, timeline and bu outcomes.	udget align with the project/program description and				
Project/program budget includes costs that a	re necessary and reasonable.				
Project/program leverages or contributes to existing support systems (APS, community).					
Project/program design appears scalable or r	eplicable.				
Project appears to be sustainable and demon over	strates the ability to be continued once the grant period is				
	Total Score				

Comments (0 pts)					
Please include concerns or strengths about grant proposal to share with school/applicant, upon request.					