Grant Application Scoring Rubric
2022 Spring Grant Cycle

Grant Reviewer Name:	
Program/Project Name:	
School/Department Name or Application Code:	

Rating

(3=Excellent; 2=Adequate; 1=Needs Improvement; 0=Insufficient Evidence)

Enter below (0-4)

Clarity, Relevance and Innovation	
Strong rationale and significance of proposed project/program.	
Project plan/activities are well-planned, thought out and provides a clear, reasonable timeline.	
Proposed project/program aligns with the mission of the APS Education Foundation and the focus of the district.	
Program/project represents the implementation of new insight or idea, with potential benefits of change made clear.	
Clarity, Relevance and Innovation Subtotal	**0**

Feasibility and Sustainability	
Proposal clearly states measurable goals to help resolve issues and/or advance student achievement.	
Provides a clear picture of how data will be collected and used to demonstrate degree to which outcomes are met.	
Personnel, planned activities, timeline and budget align with the project/program description and outcomes.	
Project/program budget includes costs that are necessary and reasonable.	
Project/program leverages or contributes to existing support systems (APS, community).	
Project/program design appears scalable or replicable.	
Project appears to be sustainable and demonstrates the ability to be continued once the grant period is over	
Feasibility and Sustainability Subtotal	**0**
Grand Total	**0**

Comments and Notes:
(enter your notes here)